





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

-						
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/014,180	11/13/2001	Srinivas Gutta	US 010567	2684		
24737 7.	590 02/26/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			WONG, LESLIE			
P.O. BOX 300	I MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NT 10310		2177 DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004	6		
			Diff Nii MEED. 02/20/200	•		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	X
•	10/014,180	GUTTA ET AL.	· Oi
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leslie Wong	2177	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sh t w	ith th correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	U V IS SET TO EXDIDE 3 M	ONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	November 2001.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	•	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	• •	• •
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	1	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	<u>-</u>	received in this National Sta	ige
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) 🔲 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/13Nov01</u> .	6) Other:		,



'Application/Control Number: 10/014,180

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

١

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Bieganski et al.** (U.S. Patent 6,334,127 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 10, 19-23, **Bieganski** teaches a method, system, article of manufacture for assigning an item to one or more groups of items (col. 8, lines 24-53), each of said items characterized by at least one symbolic feature, said method comprising the steps of:

a). computing a distance between corresponding symbolic feature values of said item and at least one item in each of said groups, said distance based on an overall similarity of classification of all instances for each possible value of said symbolic feature values (i.e., distance = serendipity control value) (col. 13, lines 6-17; lines 32-45);

'Application/Control Number: 10/014,180

Art Unit: 2177

 b). aggregating the distances between each of said features values to determine the closeness of said item and at least one item in each of said groups (col. 14, lines 32-56); and

c). assigning said item to said group associated with a minimum distance value (col. 7, lines 9-39).

Regarding claims 2-6 and 11-15, **Bieganski** further teaches wherein said computing step employs a Value Difference Metric (VDM) technique to compute said distance between symbolic features (col. 6, lines 54-66).

Regarding claims 7-9 and 16-18, **Bieganski** further teaches wherein said items are programs (col. 16, line 29 – col. 18, line 52).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Brown et al. (U.S. Patent 6,556,987B1)

Geller et al. (U.S. Patent 6,236,990B1)

Jacobi et al. (U.S. Patent 6,064,980A)

Breese et al. (U.S. Patent 6,018,738)

'Application/Control Number: 10/014,180

Art Unit: 2177

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 305-3018. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30am - 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Wong Patent Examiner Art Unit 2177

Lw 22 February 2004

> JACK CHOULES RIMARY EXAMINER